ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Automated determination of the ankle-brachial index using an oscillometric blood pressure monitor: validation *vs*. Doppler measurement and cardiovascular risk factor profile

Anastasios Kollias, Apostolos Xilomenos, Athanase Protogerou, Evangelos Dimakakos and George S Stergiou

The ankle-brachial index (ABI) is a method used widely for peripheral arterial disease (PAD) diagnosis and cardiovascular risk prediction. This study validated automated ABI measurements taken using an oscillometric blood pressure (BP) monitor allowing simultaneous arm-leg BP measurements. A total of 93 patients (hypertension 83%; dyslipidemia 72%; diabetes 45%; cardiovascular disease 23%; smoking 15%) were submitted to Doppler and automated ABI measurements, performed using a professional oscillometric BP monitor (Microlife WatchBP Office; triplicate simultaneous arm-leg BP measurements), in a randomized order. The mean difference between the Doppler reading (1.08 \pm 0.17) and (1) the first oscillometric ABI reading was 0.03 ± 0.11 , (2) the average of two oscillometric readings was 0.02 ± 0.10 and (3) the average of three oscillometric readings was 0.02 ± 0.09 (P<0.01 for all). Strong correlations were found between oscillometric and Doppler ABI (r 0.80. 0.85 and 0.86 for single and average of two and three oscillometric readings, respectively; P < 0.001 for all). Agreement between oscillometric and Doppler ABI in diagnosing PAD (Doppler ABI < 0.9) was found in 95% of cases (κ 0.79; agreement in diabetics: 94%, κ 0.79). A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve revealed area under the curve at 0.98, with a 0.97 oscillometric ABI cutoff for optimal sensitivity (92%) and specificity (92%) in diagnosing PAD. Average time for automated ABI measurement was 5.8 vs. 9.3 min for Doppler (P<0.001). Doppler and oscillometric ABI were associated and predicted (multivariate regression analysis) by the same cardiovascular risk factors (pulse pressure, smoking and cardiovascular disease history). Automated ABI measurement using a professional BP monitor allowing simultaneous arm-leg BP measurements appears to be a reliable and faster alternative to Doppler measurement.

Hypertension Research advance online publication, 19 May 2011; doi:10.1038/hr.2011.53

Keywords: ankle-brachial index; atherosclerosis; blood pressure; oscillometry; peripheral arterial disease

INTRODUCTION

Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is a frequent manifestation of atherosclerosis, particularly in the elderly, in patients with diabetes and in those with multiple cardiovascular risk factors.^{1,2} Even in its asymptomatic form, the presence of PAD has been shown to be associated with an increased risk for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.³ The Doppler-measured ankle-brachial index (ABI) is a relatively simple and noninvasive method for the assessment of PAD and the prediction of cardiovascular risk.^{1,4–6} Current guidelines provided by the European Society of Hypertension–European Society of Cardiology endorse the ABI measurement as a 'recommended' test in hypertensive patients, with values <0.9 indicating advanced atherosclerosis and increased cardiovascular risk.⁷ However, its clinical application is limited by the need for specialized equipment and the time required for measurement, which leads to underdiagnosis of asymptomatic PAD.^{8,9}

Oscillometric determination of blood pressure (BP) has emerged as a simple, accurate and widely available technique for measurement in the doctor's office, at home or with ambulatory monitoring.¹⁰ Recent studies have shown that automated determination of ABI using oscillometric BP monitors appears to be a useful alternative to the conventional manual measurement by Doppler.^{11–14} However, there is evidence that in diabetic patients, who often have underdiagnosed PAD, oscillometric ABI does not correlate as closely with Doppler ABI as in non-diabetics.¹² In addition, oscillometric ABI values have not been validated in terms of their clinical relevance.

This study was designed to validate automated ABI measurement using a professional oscillometric BP monitor that allows simultaneous arm–leg BP measurements. We compared these results with those obtained using the reference manual method with Doppler in diabetic and non-diabetic patients. The validation process consisted of two parts: (1) measurement validation, which compared Doppler and

Hypertension Center, Third University Department of Medicine, Sotiria Hospital, Athens, Greece

Correspondence: Professor GS Stergiou, Hypertension Center, Third University Department of Medicine, Sotiria Hospital, 152, Mesogion Avenue, Athens 11527, Greece. E-mail: gstergi@med.uoa.gr

Received 21 November 2010; revised 7 January 2011; accepted 2 February 2011